**ECE 2390/1390 Group Project**

**Group Name: A little off the top**

**Group Members:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Comments | Deductions |
| **Documentation** (15 points)   * Repository info readme are documented and kept updated (6pts) * Actual code is documented and easy to follow (6pts) * Any additional code is cited and proper licensing followed (3pts) | Your GitHub readme was one the best documented (and maintained).  Your function definitions were well commented. Although commenting what the expected input types and outputs for each function could be a bit better. | -1 pt. The licensing info on the YOLO model was missing/unclear  14/15 |
| **Use of GitHub** (15 points)   * Code commits are incremental (5pts) * Code tests and benchmarks are noted (5pts) * Work is represented from all members (5pts) | Your timeline in the Readme was really good, but the Git commits don’t seem to match. I would have liked to see a bit more use of git commits to document the projects progress (almost all your commits were in the last week) | 13/15 |
| **Project requirements** (10points)   * Did you incorporate learning from the course into your project? | Great job including elements from the course | 10/10 |
| **Overall** (20 points)   * Challenge (10pts). Was the project appropriate difficulty? If the project was too easy, did the team add features? * Innovation (10pts). Did the team develop something novel? | I think you could have added a bit more features to the project to make it a bit more challenging. Still, this was a really great project and fitting of the course. You did a great job and should be proud | 18/20 |

**Total 55/60**